
Our Case Number: ABP-314724-22

## Planning Authority Reference Number:



Yvonne Allen 68 Dartmouth Square Ranelagh Dublin 6 D06 VW57

Date: 05 December 2022

Re: Railway (Metrolink - Estuary to Charlemont via Dublin Airport) Order [2022]

Motrolink. Estuary through Swords, Dublin Airport, Ballymun, Glasnevin and City Centre to

Charlemont, Co. Dublin

Dear Sir / Madam,

An Bord Pleanála has received your recent submission (including your fee of €50) in relation to the abovementioned proposed Railway Order and will take it into consideration in its determination of the matter.

The Board will revert to you in due course with regard to the matter.

Please be advised that copies of all submissions/observations received in relation to the application will be made available for public inspection at the offices of the relevant County Council(s) and at the offices of An Bord Pleanála when they have been processed by the Board.

More detailed information in relation to strategic infrastructure development can be viewed on the Board's website: www.pleanala.ie.

If you have any queries in the meantime, please contact the undersigned. Please quote the above mentioned An Bord Pleanála reference number in any correspondence or telephone contact with the Board.

Yours faithfully,

Niamh Thornton **Executive Officer** 

Direct Line: 01-8737247

**Email** 

68, Dartmouth Square, Ranelagh, Dublin 6 D06 VW57

21st November 2022

An Bord Pleanála 64 Marlborough Street Dublin 1.

Re: Railway (Metrolink-Estuary to Charlemont via Dublin Airport) Order 2022
Case Reference Number NA29N.314724

Dear Sir/Madam,

My name is Yvonne Allen and I live at 68, Dartmouth Square, Ranelagh, Dublin 6. I confirm I have paid the required fee of €50.00.

I wish to make the following observations to the proposed development of a Terminus for the Metrolink project at Charlemont, Dublin 6, under the Railway Order 2022 (Metrolink – Estuary to Charlemont) Ref No NA29N.314724, :

- The station box at Charlemont, as constructed in 2021/22 by the Developer Hines, does
  not have the benefit of planning permission and has not been part of the EIA undertaken
  for this project. Processing the current Railway Order application, which is reliant on these
  preliminary and now constructed works, is legally unsafe and contravenes the provisions
  of the EIA Directive.
- 2. The station box at Charlemont will result in only one possible future tie in with the Luas Green Line to the south, which would result in an option that was previously dismissed as part of the Tie-In study from March 2017. No alternatives to the station box at Charlemont were considered as it had been fixed through the design of the overhead Hines Grand Parade commercial development. The implications of this new alignment is very significant on our wider community as it will involve top down construction that will only be possible when many houses on Manders Terrace, Oakley Road and Charleston road are demolished.
- The Environmental Impact Assessment is inadequate in relation the description of development, alternatives, transport assessment, noise and the cumulative effects of the development on the Charlemont-Dartmouth Community. For a project of this size, scale,

investment to date, it is inadequate to propose a Railway Order with so many important studies and analysis missing.

- 4. Charlemont is the incorrect strategic location for a Terminus hub and spoke system as it is too far out along the Luas Green Line spoke and would prejudice future options for integration of networks and services. St. Stephens Green is the most appropriate location as it provides for interchange with bus, Luas and future DART underground. The project incorrectly dismisses St. Stephens Green West as an appropriate terminal station. It only considers St. Stephens Green East and Charlemont. Furthermore, no Study has been completed by NTA/TII as part of the entire Metrolink project on the optimal location for a City centre terminus.
- 5. Expensive Duplication of Rail infrastructure The inclusion of an expensive and costly section between St. Stephens Green and Charlemont is strategically weak and duplicates the existing Luas Green Line services. NTA's cost estimate for this 1km section at €650M is an expensive duplication and significant investment that deprives other parts of Dublin that are in immediate need of rail infrastructure to support housing and urban development.
- 6. The development would result in noise and disturbance during the construction and operational phases and would result in a loss of amenities for the area. The Traffic Study for the local Charlemont area is wholly inadequate as it omitted the modelling of the impact of Airport users coming to the only Dublin South Metrolink station at Charlemont. The Traffic Study uses a strategic, generalised regional model that does not take local factors into account.
- 7. The development would have an adverse impact upon traffic during the construction and operational phase, and it has not been properly designed and there is poor integration with other modes of transport. Pedestrian movements in and around the station would be difficult. Grand parade is an already heavily congested orbital route. The EIA did not properly assess the impact of additional local traffic volumes, rather they used a generalised regional model that does not take local factors into account. A key local factor at a Terminus station in Charlemont that runs to the Airport is the huge volume of anticipated airport users from Dublin South and greater Dublin/Leinster that will come to Charlemont via car or taxi with baggage for onward destination to the airport. Grand Parade and the residential area around Charlemont-Dartmouth can not sustain the significant additional traffic volumes associated with this development
- The development will have an adverse impact upon property values, particularly during
  the construction phase. For many houses in the area there will be a long term and
  permanent adverse impact upon property values from noise of the operating rail
  infrastructure, vents, tannoy systems, escalators and large traffic volumes vehicular and

pedestrian using the station 19 hours per day. The adverse impact also extends to the loss of amenity for the wider community changing a quiet residential neighbourhood into a noisy, busy, congested major transport hub.

A better alternative would be to connect Tara Street Station to St. Stephen's Green, instead of Charlemont. This would provide a terminus hub, which was the original proposal.

Yours faithfully,

Chare Aller